

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/684,383	HOTTEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Prema M Mertz	1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey L. Ihnen (Attorney). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Prema Mertz (Primary Examiner). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 24-34.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was indicated to Mr. Ihnen that amended claims 24 and 25 precipitated a 35 USC 112, first para rejection because there was lack of written description in the instant specification for a DNA molecule comprising nucleotides 836-1183 of SEQ ID NO:1, 839-1186 of SEQ ID NO:3, 866- of SEQ ID NO:1, and 869-1186 of SEQ ID NO:3 could encode a protein having the required activity. Furthermore, claim 25 as recited encompassed a part of SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:4 for which there is a lack of written description .